

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested. The foregoing amendments are responsive to the August 7, 2007 Office Action. Applicants respectfully request entry of the requested amendments and reconsideration of the application in view of the following comments.

Response to the Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C § 103

The rejection asserts that Ogura, Naughton and Abkowitz allegedly teach each element of the claims. Claims 1, 16, 31, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogura et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,189,056 (hereinafter Ogura), in view of Naughton et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,020,881 (hereinafter Naughton). The rejection asserts that Ogura allegedly teaches each element of the claims except for “emulating a user interface for the application on the host device, wherein the emulated user interface generally corresponds in appearance to the user interface on the communication device, and executing the application only on the communications device”, which is allegedly taught by Naughton. Claims 2-5, 7-10, 12-15, 17-20, 22-25, 27-30, 32-35, 37-40, 42-45, 47-54 and 56-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogura in view of Naughton and in further view of Abkowitz et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0041973 (hereinafter Abkowitz). The rejection asserts that Ogura and Naughton allegedly teaches each element of the claims except for “emulating a device display area in conjunction with the user interface”, which is allegedly taught by Abkowitz.

The present claims are directed toward emulating the user interface and display of a communication device executing an application, wherein the emulated user interface generally corresponds to the user interface on said communication device, wherein information to be

displayed on the user interface of said communication device is redirected to the emulated interface. For example, Claim 1 includes the language:

loading the application on the communication device; emulating a user interface for said application on said host device, wherein the emulated user interface generally corresponds in appearance to the user interface on said communication device, wherein information to be displayed on the user interface of said communication device is redirected to the emulated interface; and executing said application only on said communication device.

Similar language is in all the independent claims. Thus, in the present claims, the communication device redirects any information that would normally be displayed on the communication device to the emulated interface.

None of the cited art teaches or suggests redirecting any information to be displayed on the emulated interface. Ogura fails to teach any emulated display. Naughton simply teaches a one-way communication from the remote control to the thermostat. There is no suggestion in Naughton that information that would normally be displayed on the thermostat is ever redirected to the remote control. Abkowitz simply teaches a device simulator, and no actual program is running on a remote communication device, nor is any display information ever redirected to any emulated interface.

In view of the foregoing distinctions, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claims 1, 16, 31, and 46 are patentably distinguished over the cited art. Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1, 16, 31, and 46 are in condition for allowance, and Applicants respectfully request allowance of Claims 1, 16, 31, and 46.

Claims 2-5, 7-15, 17-20, 22-30, 32-35, 37-45, and 47-59 depend either directly or indirectly from one of the independent claims. Each dependent claim further defines the independent claim from which it depends. In view of the foregoing remarks regarding Claims 1, 16, 31, and 46, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 2-5, 7-15, 17-20, 22-30, 32-35, 37-45,

and 47-59 are likewise in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request allowance of dependent Claims 2-5, 7-15, 17-20, 22-30, 32-35, 37-45, and 47-59.

CONCLUSION

In light of the amendments contained herein, Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance, for which early action is requested.

Please charge any fees or overpayments that may be due with this response to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated November 19, 2007

:

By: /James T. Hagler/

James T. Hagler
Reg. No. 40,631
(858) 651-0266

QUALCOMM Incorporated
Attn: Patent Department
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, California 92121-1714
Telephone: (858) 658-5787
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502